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## Framework for Today's Talk

## Our Research Program:

$>$ Develop a cognitive architecture (ACT-R) of how people perform complex cognitive tasks.
$>$ Within that architecture develop detailed models of how students learn mathematics.
>Build instructional systems (Cognitive Tutors) that are based on these models.
$>$ Have the instructional experiments inform the cognitive architecture.
Today's Talk:
>Describe how we have brought ACT-R and fMRI brain imaging together in the context of Cognitive Tutors.

## The Algebra Tutor



Currently teaches about 500,000 students in the United States

## Cognitive Tutors

$>$ Cognitive Model: A system that can solve problems in the various ways students can

$>$ Model Tracing: Follows student through their individual approach a problem -> context-sensitive instruction
> Knowledge Tracing: Assesses student's knowledge growth -> individualized activity selection and pacing

## Brain Imaging and Cognitive Tutors


$>$ Cognitive Tutors work by using cognitive models to interpret the student's behavior.
$>$ Cognitive Tutors are limited by the crude nature of the cognitive models and the difficulty of diagnosis using the behavioral event stream.
$>$ One contribution of brain imaging would be to improve the sophistication of the underlying cognitive models.
>Another contribution of brain imaging would be to help diagnose when a student is thinking what.

## The Experimental Tutor

$>$ We have developed a experimental tutoring system based on the Algebra 1 curriculum in Foerster (1990) for solving linear equations.
$>$ The tutoring system is minimalist for the purposes of studying students in an fMRI scanner, but involves basic instruction, error feedback, and help on request.
$>$ We have also developed a data-flow isomorph of this system which can be used with adults.
$>$ Results are very similar for children and adults.

## Experiment

$>$ Goal 1: Discriminate between on task and off task behavior.
$>$ Goal 2: Identify problem student is solving and where they are in that problem.
$>$ Students goal through the curriculum in 5 sessions on Days 0-4 and then do similar material on Day 5 as they did on Day 1.
$>$ They are scanned on Days 1 and 5.
$>$ To create off-task moments we insert periods of n-back at reasonable points of transition in the equation solving.
>Because we have detailed computer logs we have a pretty good definition of ground truth -- where they actually are.

## Earliest Material:Transformation Phase

Problem 1 of 17


$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { New Equation } & \begin{aligned}
-10 & =17 \\
x & =17+10
\end{aligned}
\end{array}
$$




Note:
All actions are with a mouse

## Phase 2: Enforced Distraction: n-back: Detect Repeated Letters

## Earliest Material: Evaluation Phase



$$
x-10=17
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l}
\hline \text { Resulting Equation } & \begin{array}{l}
x=17+10 \\
x=27
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$



-Followed by more n-back \& transition to next problem

## An Example of Mind Reading

$>$ Representative example: first student going through his first 8-minute sequence of problems alternating with n back.
$>$ We are going to see every 2 seconds what the student sees on the screen and what the algorithm predicts the student is seeing on the screen given their imaging data.
$>$ Green will indicate material that involves mathematical problem solving according to our model and red will indicate off-task time.
$>$ This is a sketch in powerpoint of a movie I would like to make of the actual task to illustrate the potential of this methodology.
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## Student

Predict

## Minute 2

| Start with Fixation | n-back | n-back |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red indicates Off Task | n-back | $X=27$ |
| Equation appears on 3rd scañ | $X=27$ |  |
| Green indicates On Task $=27$ | $X=27$ |  |
| New Problem | $X=27$ | + |
| Prediction Early | + | + |
| Back in Synch | $X+4=13$ | $X+4=13$ |
|  | $X+4=13$ | $X+4=13$ |
|  | $X+4=13$ | $X+4=13$ |
|  | $X+4=13$ | $X+4=13$ |
|  | $X+4=13$ | $X+4=13$ |
|  | $X+4=13$ | $X+4=13$ |
|  | $X+4=13$ | $X+4=13$ |
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$X=13-4 \quad n$-back
n-back n-back
n-back n-back
n-back n-back
n-back n-back
n-back $\quad X=9$

## Student Predict

Minute 3

| n-back | + |
| :---: | :---: |
| $x=9$ | + |
| + | $5 * x=90$ |
| + | 5 * $X=90$ |
| $5 * x=90$ | $5 * X=90$ |
| 5 * $X=90$ | 5 * $X=90$ |
| $5 * X=90$ | $5 * X=90$ |
| $5 * X=90$ | $5 * X=90$ |
| $5 * X=90$ | 5 * $X=90$ |
| 5 * $\mathrm{X}=90$ | 5 * $\mathrm{X}=90$ |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| $x=90 / 5$ | X = 90 / 5 |
| $x=90 / 5$ | $x=90 / 5$ |
| $x=90 / 5$ | $x=90 / 5$ |
| $x=90 / 5$ | $x=90 / 5$ |
| $x=90 / 5$ | X = 90 / 5 |
| $x=90 / 5$ | n-back |
| $x=90 / 5$ | n-back |
| $x=90 / 5$ | n-back |
| $X=90 / 5$ | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | $x=18$ |
| n-back | $X=18$ |
| n-back | $X=18$ |
| n-back | + |

## Student

## Predict

Minute 4

| n-back | + |
| :---: | :---: |
| X = 18 | X/3 = 21 |
| + | X/3 = 21 |
| + | $x / 3=21$ |
| X / 3 = 21 | X / 3 = 21 |
| $\mathrm{X} / 3=21$ | $\mathrm{x} / 3=21$ |
| $\mathrm{x} / 3=21$ | $\mathrm{x} / 3=21$ |
| X / 3 = 21 | X / 3 = 21 |
| X / 3 = 21 | X / 3 = 21 |
| X / 3 = 21 | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | X $=21$ * |
| n-back | X $=21$ * 3 |
| X $=21$ * 3 | $\mathrm{X}=21$ * |
| $\mathrm{X}=21$ * 3 | $\mathrm{X}=21$ * 3 |
| $\mathrm{X}=21$ * 3 | X $=21$ * 3 |
| X $=21$ * 3 | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | $\mathrm{X}=63$ |
| X = 63 | + |
| + | + |
| + | $\mathrm{X}-7=16$ |
| $X-7=16$ | $X-7=16$ |

## Student Predict

Minute 5

| $X-7=16$ | $X-7=16$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $X-7=16$ | $X-7=16$ |
| $X-7=16$ | $X-7=16$ |
| $X-7=16$ | $X-7=16$ |
| $X-7=16$ | $X-7=16$ |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | $n$ n-back |
| n-back | $n$-back |
| n-back | $X=16+7$ |
| $X=16+7$ | $X=16+7$ |
| $X=16+7$ | $X=16+7$ |
| $X=16+7$ | $X=16+7$ |
| $X=16+7$ | $X=16+7$ |
| $X=16+7$ | $X=16+7$ |
| $X=16+7$ | $X=16+7$ |
| $n-$ back | $X=16+7$ |
| $n$-back | $n-$ back |
| $n-$ back | $n-$ back |
| $n$-back | $n$-back |
| $n=$ back | $n$-back |
| $X=23$ | $X=23$ |
| $X=23$ | + |
| + | $X+$ |
| + | $X-32=95$ |
| $X-32=95$ | $X-32=95$ |
| $X-32=95$ | $X-32=95$ |
| $X-32=95$ | $X-32=95$ |
| $X-32=95$ | $X-32=95$ |

## Student

Minute 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x-32=95 \\
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& x=95+32 \\
& X=95+32 \quad X=95+32 \\
& \mathrm{X}=95+32 \quad \text { n-back } \\
& \text { n-back } \\
& \text { n-back } \\
& \text { n-back } \\
& \text { n-back } \\
& \text { n-back } \\
& \text { n-back } \\
& \text { X = } 127 \\
& + \\
& + \\
& x+54=74 \\
& x+54=74 \\
& x+54=74 \\
& x+54=74 \\
& X+54=74 \quad X+54=74 \\
& X+54=74 \quad X+54=74 \\
& X+54=74 \quad X+54=74 \\
& X+54=74 \quad n \text {-back } \\
& \text { n-back }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Predict

## Student

Minute 7

| n-back | n-back |
| :---: | :---: |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | X $=74-54$ |
| $\mathrm{X}=74-54$ | $\mathrm{X}=74-54$ |
| $\mathrm{X}=74-54$ | $\mathrm{X}=74-54$ |
| $\mathrm{X}=74-54$ | X = 74-54 |
| $\mathrm{X}=74-54$ | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | $x=20$ |
| X $=20$ | $\mathrm{X}=20$ |
| $\mathrm{X}=20$ | + |
| + | + |
| + | $X+91=87$ |
| X + 91-87 | $x+91=87$ |
| $x+91=87$ | $x+91=87$ |
| $x+91=87$ | $x+91=87$ |
| X + 91-87 | $x+91=87$ |
| X $+91=87$ | $x+91=87$ |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |

## Student Predict

Minute 8

| $X=87-91$ | $X=87-91$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $X=87-91$ | $X=87-91$ |
| $X=87-91$ | $X=87-91$ |
| $X=87-91$ | $X=87-91$ |
| $X=87-91$ | $X=87-91$ |
| $X=87-91$ | $X=87-91$ |
| n-back | $X=87-91$ |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| n-back | n-back |
| $X=-4$ | n-back |
| Done | $X=-4$ |
| Done | Done |
| Done | Done |
| Done | Done |

## Statistics of this Example


$>$ Student takes 227 scans to go through 33 states to solve 8 problems.
$>$ Prediction is never off by more than 1 state and this happens on 32 of the 227 scans.
>1 will try to explain how we combine a cognitive model and fMRI data to obtain this result.
-But first lets see whether we really need both the cognitive model and fMRI data.

## You Need Both a Model \& fMRI Statistics on 210 Blocks



| Region | x | y | z |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. P R Manual | 41 | -20 | 50 |
| 2. P L Manual | -41 | -20 | 50 |
| 3. P R ACC | 7 | 10 | 39 |
| 4. P L ACC | -7 | 10 | 39 |
| 5. P R Vocal | 43 | -14 | 33 |
| 6. P L Vocal | -43 | -14 | 33 |
| 7. P R PPC | 23 | -63 | 40 |
| 8. P L PPC | -23 | -63 | 40 |
| 9.P R LIPFC | 43 | 23 | 24 |
| 10. P L LIPFC | -43 | 23 | 24 |
| 11. P R Caudate | 14 | 10 | 7 |
| 12. P L Caudate | -14 | 10 | 7 |
| 13. P R Auditory | 46 | -22 | 9 |
| 14. P L Auditory | -46 | -22 | 9 |
| 15. P R Fusiform | 42 | -61 | -9 |
| 16. P L Fusiform | -42 | -61 | -9 |
| 17. E R Premotor | 32 | 1 | 58 |
| 18. E R PFC | 44 | 23 | 36 |
| 19. E R Ang Gyrus | 37 | -48 | 43 |
| 20. E L PFC | -46 | 24 | 32 |
| 21. E L PPC | -29 | -60 | 42 |
| 22. E R PPC | 13 | -73 | 50 |
| 23. E R Orb Frontal | 47 | 46 | 0 |
| 24. E L Orb Frontal | -26 | 48 | -6 |
| 25.E R Occ Gyrus | 23 | -89 | -2 |
| 26. E L Occ Gyrus | -20 | -90 | -5 |
| 27. E L Occ Gyrus | -19 | -77 | -13 |
| 28. E R Cerebellum | 28 | -61 | -18 |

## fMRI Analysis

$>16$ predefined regions with 12 exploratory analysis combined to predict On and Off task.
>Graph displays squared weights.
$>$ Left posterior parietal close to predefined most predictive. $>$ But all 28 much better than any region singly.

## Performance with Combined Signal


$>$ Combined activity offers moderate discrimination of ON versus OFF task. $>$ Regions and weights defined on Day 1 data generalize to Day 5 .
>However, fMRI by itself it offers poor basis for identifying where student is in the sequence of problems. We need a cognitive model.

## Modules in ACT-R Cognitive Architecture



## 50 Sec of Model Interaction with Algebra Tutor



## On and Off Periods in ACT-R Model Interaction



Visual<br>Procedural<br>Goal<br>Retrieval<br>- Imaginal<br>Manual

$>$ Model predicts length of On intervals for particular problems which determines time to solve them.
>These times are variable and the model predicts the distribution of times.

## Time to Solve Problems

$>$ The model predicts the distribution of times to solve problems on both days and for various sections of material.
$>$ Because of learning in ACT-R, parameters defined on Day1 successfully predict problem times on Day 5.
$>$ However using these distributions alone offers poor basis for identifying where student is in the sequence of problems. We need fMRI.
(a) Sect. 1-7 Invert


## Combining Model and fMRI: Hidden Markov Model (HMM) Algorithms

$>$ We are looking for an interpretation of the $m$ scans in a block that contains $n$ problems as a linear sequence of $4 n+1$ states.
$>$ The number of such interpretations is $\frac{(m-1)!}{(4 n)(m-4 n-1)!}$
$>$ The probability of any of these interpretations can be calculated from the probabilities of interval lengths for a state and likelihood of the signal magnitudes as ON and OFF task.
$>$ Future actions only depend on the current state. Since the states are not directly observable and their durations are variable the model a hidden semi-Markov process.
$>$ Dynamic programming algorithms associated with hidden Markov models can efficiently compute the probability that the student is in any state on a particular scan.

## Both Model and fMRI are Needed

$>$ Our prediction for any scan is the most probable state according to the HMM algorithm.
$>$ This is achieved by using the distribution of lengths of state intervals from the model and the distribution of ON and OFF signal magnitudes from fMRI.
$>$ Model-only predictions are obtained by using just one distribution for both ON and OFF signal magnitudes, thus negating the fMRI contribution.
$\quad$ fMRI-only predictions are obtained by making all interval lengths equally probable, thus negating the model contribution.

## Comparison on First Example Block

## Model + fMRI:

Identifies all 33 States


Model Only:
Identifies Only 29 States



## Predictions Generalize from Day 1 to Day 5

$>$ Regions, weights, and model parameters were estimated from Day 1. $>$ There is the danger of overfitting in our claimed success for Day 1 data. $>$ They can be used to predict with no further estimation Day 5. $>$ Learning effects in the model predicts a speed up for Day 5 which matches students.

## Day 5 Exemplifies Model Tracing in Cognitive Tutors

$>$ The parameters were all estimated from group data.
Typically there is not enough data about a single student to produce reliable parameter estimations.
$>$ The parameters are estimated from a situation (Day 1) different than the one on which they are used (Day 5). This is not typical in most mind-reading applications where one data set is split into a training and test set.
$>$ Even though the parameters estimated from average behavior in a different situation they are nonetheless used to interpret what a particular student is thinking at the moment.
$>$ A learning model allows one to adjust the expectations to reflect the progress of the student.

## Conclusions

$>$ It is possible to meaningfully and rigorously relate brain imaging data to a detailed model of student-tutor interactions.
>Brain imaging data can guide model development which would lead to better cognitive tutors.
$>$ It is possible to use brain imaging data to to diagnose student problem solving in real-time with considerable accuracy.
$>$ The method can be fit to one data set and generalize to another data set.
$>$ While this demonstration uses only brain imaging data, real applications would want to integrate imaging data with behavioral information.

## Thank You!



